

United States Patent and Trademark Office



DATE MAILED: 11/06/2003

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/155,842	. (04/06/2001	William James Brennan	C15023A1	9223	
23906	7590	11/06/2003	·	EXAM	EXAMINER	
E I DU PO	NT DE N	EMOURS AND C	FERGUSON, L	FERGUSON, LAWRENCE D		
		CORDS CENTER		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BARLEY M 4417 LANC			1774	TALERIUMBER		
WILMING				1774		

·

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)					
	09/155,842	BRENNAN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Lawrence D Ferguson	1774					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 (</u>	October 2003 .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊡ Th	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.							
7)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	oted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exa	miner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applicati	on No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional application).					
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting 	. ,						
Attachment(s)							
-1) - Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5) L Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office							



Art Unit: 1774

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Request for Reconsideration

This action is in response to the request for reconsideration mailed October 08,
 Claims 1-7 are pending.

Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 103(a)

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ito et al (U.S. 5,912,085) in view of Sankey et al. (U.S. 5,800,911) for reasons previously stated in the Office Action submitted on July 16, 2003.

Response to Arguments

4. Arguments to rejection made under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ito et al (U.S. 5,912,085) in view of Sankey et al. (U.S. 5,800,911) have been considered but are found unpersuasive. Applicant indicates the objective of the present invention is to improve delamination resistance of the claimed multilayer structure and argues one of ordinary skill in the art would not modify the layers and composition of Ito based on the disclosure of Sankey because there is no overlap between the required

Application/Control Number: 09/155,842

Art Unit: 1774

characteristics of the films of Ito and Sankey or their intended end-uses. Examiner respectfully disagrees with this argument because Applicant is arguing the intended use of the claimed invention versus the intended use of the cited art. In response to applicant's argument that one of ordinary skill in the art would not modify the layers and composition of Ito based on the disclosure of Sankey because there is no overlap between the required characteristics of the films of Ito and Sankey or their intended end-uses, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See In re Casey, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and In re Otto, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963). Ito shows a recording material comprising an opaque polyester substrate (column 3, line 44-52), at least one intermediate layer (cover layer) (column 8, lines 24-31), and at least one ink-receiving layer comprising acrylic and polyester resins (column 5, line 66 to column 7, line 45) where the substrate of Ito lacks copolyesterether. Sankey teaches a polyester first layer (substrate) (column 1, lines 37-43 and column 2, lines 24-30) comprising copolyesterether (column 5, lines 38-67) showing the conventionality of this component in multilayer polyester film substrates. The intended uses of Sankey and Ito of are little consequence because intended use is given little patentable weight.

Application/Control Number: 09/155,842

Art Unit: 1774

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lawrence Ferguson whose telephone number is (703) 305-9978. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 AM – 4:30PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia Kelly can be reached on (703) 308-0449. Please allow the examiner twenty-four hours to return your call.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for

Application/Control Number: 09/155,842

Art Unit: 1774

Page 5

After Final communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2351.

Lawrence D. Ferguson

Examiner Art Unit 1774 CYNTHIA H. KELLY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

antifle